UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
-----X
CHRISTOPHER D. BODKIN,

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

CV 05-4306

-against-

(Wexler, J.)

ROBERT GARFINKLE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS REPUBLICAN COMMISSIONER OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS and ANITA KATZ, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS DEMOCRATIC MEMBER OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,

efendants.	
 X	

## APPEARANCES:

ELIOT F. BLOOM, ESQ. 114 Old Country Road Suite 308 Mineola, New York 11501 Attorney for Plaintiff

CHRISTINE MALAFI, ESQ.
SUFFOLK COUNTY ATTORNEY
BY: DENNIS M. BROWN, ESQ., Assistant County Attorney
Attorney for Defendant
P.O. Box 6100
Hauppauge, New York 11788

WEXLER, District Judge

This is a civil rights action commenced by Christopher Bodkin ("Bodkin" or "Plaintiff") alleging a violation of state law and of Plaintiff's rights pursuant to the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Presently before the court is the objection of Plaintiff to a discovery ruling of Magistrate Judge Arlene Rosario Lindsay, dated November 29, 2006

regarding Plaintiff's request to extend the discovery deadline to take additional non-party

depositions. The Magistrate Judge denied the request on the grounds that: (1) discovery had been

extended on a prior occasion to allow for the taking of two other depositions and (2) the record

belied the claim that Plaintiff had only recently become aware of the identity of the two

additional witnesses. Magistrate Judge Lindsay further noted that subpoenas seeking to depose

these individuals had previously been quashed by the court because they were served in violation

fo the Federal Rules. Plaintiff neither re-served the subpoenas nor otherwise sought timely

depositions. In view of these facts, Magistrate Judge Lindsay held that Plaintiff failed to show

good cause to re-open discovery.

Pursuant to Rule 72 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure this court shall modify or set

aside any part of an order appealed from a Magistrate Judge that is "clearly erroneous or contrary

to law." FRCP 72(a). This court has reviewed the order of Magistrate Judge Lindsay as well as

Plaintiff's objections and Defendants' opposing submission and concludes that the appeal should

be denied. Accordingly, Plaintiff's objections are hereby overruled and the Order of Magistrate

Judge Lindsay is affirmed.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: Central Islip, New York January 23 2007

2